

<u>Commentor</u>	<u>Source</u>	<u>Comment</u>
MM	Planning Commission Public Hearing	MM pointed out that on page 126 the comments for grants appeared to be considered out-of-pocket money for the community and that clarifications are needed.
County Staff	Planning Commission Public Hearing	Staff noted page 126 a policy section is blank and asked which policy it refers to.
MM	Planning Commission Public Hearing	MM commented that the plan seems to point toward a regional government, specifically Region 9, and questioned the honesty of the plan.
MM	Planning Commission Public Hearing	MM stated that public-private partnerships are not good for building housing.
MM	Planning Commission Public Hearing	MM stated that grants are a bad thing.
MM	Planning Commission Public Hearing	MM questioned the cost of trails and Policy 7.5.1.
MM	Planning Commission Public Hearing	MM stated that grant money is not viewed as non-local tax dollars.
TH	Planning Commission Public Hearing	Sabre Lake property owner prefers to be Ag not residential
GB	Planning Commission Public Hearing	CB board overturned decision by PC for Commercial Land use application - I will suport plan when I can see what is going on
ABR	Planning Commission Public Hearing	ABR stated that she does not want the community to grow.
RG	Planning Commission Public Hearing	RG said that some policies could reference the benefits of ecotourism.
RG	Planning Commission Public Hearing	RG said much of the discussion reflects a misunderstanding of what the plan is.
RG	Planning Commission Public Hearing	RG noted that only 25% of people are educated on planning topics, which may contribute to misunderstanding.
RG	Planning Commission Public Hearing	RG noted that environmental issues, including climate change and past flooding, should inform development.
RG	Planning Commission Public Hearing	RG said development must consider impacts on land and drainage.
GF	Planning Commission Public Hearing	GF stated that nobody uses trails in Le Sueur County.
GF	Planning Commission Public Hearing	GF expressed concern about the cost of trails, despite this being a policy document.
GF	Planning Commission Public Hearing	GF wanted reassurance that building eligibility will remain intact and that the plan does not affect it.
County Staff	Planning Commission Public Hearing	Staff clarified that the county does not have annexation agreements and that establishing a policy in the plan would be step one.
JB	Planning Commission Public Hearing	JB asked how to protect high-CPI farmland within annexation areas
JB	Planning Commission Public Hearing	Concerned about density, reduce residential around lakes
TK	Planning Commission Public Hearing	TK stated that ADUs should be allowed in agricultural land and residential areas.
TK	Planning Commission Public Hearing	TK stated that it is reasonable for some agricultural land to be converted to residential.
Commissioner King	Planning Commission Public Hearing	Tina said that the scenarios were reasonable.

Commissioner King	Planning Commission Public Hearing	Tina stated that Scenario 1 was reasonable.
Commissioner King	Planning Commission Public Hearing	We should break out population and household per year to make it more understandable not just totals for 2050
AG	Planning Commission Public Hearing	Said too many unanswered questions ???
<p><b>Thank you to all that took time to attend the Planning Commission Public Hearing and review drafts of the Comprehensive Plan. We greatly appreciate your comments. All comments at the Planning Commission Public Hearing were taken into consideration when refining the plan for County Board consideration.</b></p>		